

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/674,797	11/06/2000	Martin Kordsmeyer	35	P00,1794 5189		
29177	7590 09/22/2004			EXAMINER		
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC				SMITH, SHEILA B		
P. O. BOX 11	- -			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I	L 60690-1135			2681	I AI EK NOMBER	
				2001	. //	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ion No.	Applicant(s)				
			797	KORDSMEYER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Sheila B		2681				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN usions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. o) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reply be time atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from aplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
		2b) This action is						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co						
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b ction to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. See ired if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cf	, ,			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations of the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment	i(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO98/11759 hereforth referred to as US equivalent Kamperschroer et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,539,033).

Regarding claims 1, 4, Kamperschroer et al. discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, further Kamperschroer et al. discloses method for the transmission of data in a hybrid telecommunication system, in particular an "ISDN & RLARR; dect-specific RLL/WLL" system. In addition Kamperschroer et al. discloses method for transmitting service data in predefined radio interface protocol between telecommunication devices, comprising the steps of: transmitting said service data in protocol data units predefined by said radio interface protocol (which reads on column 1 lines 9-12), transmitting a service data unit configured at least as a fragment in each protocol data unit independently of the size of said service data unit (which reads on column 2 lines 6-12), which is configured at least as a fragment, in comparison with the size (which reads on volume) of a free part of said each protocol data unit which is in each case not yet occupied by service data, specifying, in each case (which reads on column 2 lines 4-12), of a respective said service data unit configured at least as a fragment (which reads on column 2 lines 4-12), by a first information item allocated to said protocol data unit (InterWorking Unit IWU1), specify in each case an end of said

Art Unit: 2681

respective service data unit by a third information item allocated to said protocol data unit (which reads on column 2 lines 10-12), together with said second information item in said protocol data unit with said transmission of service data is ended at least temporarily (which reads on column 4 lines 40-45). However Kamperschroer et al. fails to disclose a service data length, which differs from the value "zero", and specifying or allocating a fourth information item corresponding to the value "zero" of the service data length.

Kamperschroer et al. discloses the claimed invention except for a service data length, which differs from the value "zero", and specifying or allocating a fourth information item corresponding to the value "zero" of the service data length. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to a service data length, which differs from the value "zero", and specifying or allocating a fourth information item corresponding to the value "zero" of the service data length, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding claim 2, Kamperschroer et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1) additionally, Kamperschroer et al. discloses a step of transmitting in protected manner said service data (which reads on column 5 lines 63-65).

Regarding claim 3, Kamperschroer et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1) additionally, Kamperschroer et al. discloses arranging said first information item, said second information item and said third information item in front of said service data unit

Application/Control Number: 09/674,797

Art Unit: 2681

which is at least configured as a fragment (which reads on volume), in said respective protocol

data unit (which reads on column 2 lines 6-12).

Regarding claim 5, Kamperschroer et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above

(see claim 1) additionally, Kamperschroer et al. discloses wherein said data in

telecommunication systems is voice or packet data in DECT systems (which reads on column 6

lines 32-34).

Regarding claim 6, Kamperschroer et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above

(see claim 1) additionally, Kamperschroer et al. discloses wherein said service data is ended at

least temporarily within said protocol data unit (which reads on column 4 lines 40-45).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 6/21/04 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Regarding applicants arguments concerning the cited prior art not teaching "transmitting

a service data unit configured at least as a fragment in each protocol data unit independently of

the size of said service data unit" the examiner contends that Kamperschroer et al. discloses

transmitting a service data unit configured at least as a fragment (which reads on a first volume

of data), and a fragment (first volume) in each protocol data unit independently of the size of said

service data unit (which reads on the data is transmitted in a single data block).

Page 4

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding the applicants argument that the data blocks of Kamperschroer does not function in the same way as the protocol data, the examiner contends the applicant hasn't defined in the claims the difference in the protocol data therefore the examiner still reads the data blocks on the protocol data.

Regarding claims 11,17,19 and 20, in the applicant arguments these claims are referred to as being amended the examiner contends that these claims are not a part of this application.

The examiner standby and restates the above rejection.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheila B. Smith whose telephone number is (703)305-0104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am - 3:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,797

Art Unit: 2681

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 703-308-4825. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Smith September 20, 2004

W--

Page 6

DAVID HUDSPETH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600